

Date

Joseph Antonelli, Esq. (Bar No. 137039) jantonelli@antonellilaw.com Janelle Carney, Esq. (Bar No. 201570) jcarney@antonellilaw.com LAW OFFICE OF JOSEPH ANTONELLI 14758 Pipeline Ave., Suite E, 2nd Floor Chino Hills, CA 91709-6025 Telephone: (909) 393-0223 Facsimile: (909) 393-0471

Department 322 CONFORMED COPY
ORIGINAL FILED
AUDENBURG CONTROL
AUDENBURG WIT ON AUDICES

AUG 27 2015

Sherri R. Carter, Executive Officer/Clerk By: Aldwin Lim, Deputy

Attorneys for Plaintiff STACEY MAE GERODIAS VAJRABUKKA, on behalf herself and all others similarly situated

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES-CENTRAL CIVIL WEST

STACEY MAE GERODIAS VAJRABUKKA,) Case No.: BC548855 on behalf herself and all others similarly situated and the general public,

Hon. William F. Highberger - Dept. 322

CLASS ACTION

TRINITY NURSING HOME MANAGEMENT, INC., a California corporation; CAMTRENT, LLC dba ROYAL CREST HEALTH CARE, an entity unknown; and DOES 1 to 100, inclusive,

PROPOSEDY ORDER AND JUDGMENT KE: PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR ATTORNEYS' FEES AND COSTS AND CLASS REPRESENTATIVE ENHANCEMENT AND MOTION FOR CONDITIONAL CERTIFICATION AND FINAL APPROVAL OF CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT

Defendants

Plaintiff,

Date: August 20, 2015 Time: 11:00 a.m. Dept.: 322

Complaint Filed: June 13, 2014

[PROPOSED] ORDER AND JUDGMENT RE: PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR ATTORNEYS' FEÉS AND COSTS AND CLASS REPRESENTATIVE ENHANCEMENT AND MOTION FOR CONDITIONAL CERTIFICATION AND FINAL APPROVAL OF CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT

12 13

14

3

6

7

8

9

10

11

15 16

17

18 19

20 21

22

23 24

25 26

27

28

The Plaintiff's class, as defined below, and the settling Defendants, Trinity Nursing
Home Management, Inc. ("Trinity") and Camtrent, LLC dba Royal Crest Healthcare
("Camtrent") (hereinafter collectively referred to as "Defendants") entered into an agreement to
settle the above-captioned class action, subject to the Court's approval. The agreement is
captioned Joint Stipulation and Agreement of Compromise and Settlement (hereinafter
"Settlement Agreement"). The Settlement Agreement provides for the payment of compensation
to each Class Member according to a formula which uses each employee's Individual Gross
Earnings to determine what percent of the Total Gross Earnings was earned by each employee
during the applicable Class Period, pursuant to the Preliminary Approval Order entered June 4,
2015. The Preliminary Approval Order also approved the proposed forms of notice and related
documents. The court entered the Preliminary Approval Order after review and consideration of
all of the pleadings filed in connection herewith, and the oral presentations made by counsel at
the hearing.

In compliance with the Preliminary Approval Order entered June 4, 2015, notice was sent to the Class Members via first class mail by the court approved claims administrator, Dahl Administration, on June 19, 2015.

This matter is now before the Court on Plaintiff's Motions for Final Approval of the Class Action Settlement, Attorneys' Fees and Costs, and Class Representative Enhancement. The Court has read, heard, and considered all of the pleadings and documents submitted, and the presentations made in connection with the Motions which came on for hearing on August 20, 2015.

This Court finds that the proposed settlement is the product of serious, informed, non-collusive negotiations, has no obvious deficiencies, and does not improperly grant preferential treatment to any individuals. The Court finds that the settlement was entered into in good faith pursuant to California Code of Civil Procedure §877.6. The Court further finds that the settlement is fair, reasonable and adequate and that Plaintiff has satisfied the standards for final approval of a class action settlement under California law.

The hearing was attended by class counsel, Joseph Antonelli Esq. from the Law Office of Joseph Antonelli. The Defendants were represented by Jeffrey P. Fuchsman of Ballard Rosenberg Golper & Savitt, LLP.

Based on the foregoing, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT:

- 1. The Court has jurisdiction over the claims of the Settlement Class Members asserted in this proceeding and over all parties to the related actions;
- 2. For the reasons set forth in the Preliminary Approval Order of June 4, 2015, which is adopted and incorporated herein by reference, this Court finds that the applicable requirements of the California Code of Civil Procedure §382 have been satisfied with respect to the Settlement Classes and the proposed settlement. The Court hereby makes final its earlier provisional certification of the Plaintiff Classes, as set forth in the Revised Preliminary Approval Orders. The Court finds the following class has been certified and is subject to the Settlement as "Class Members":

"All hourly non-exempt employees employed by Defendants at Royal Crest who worked at any time during the period from June 13, 2010 to April 30, 2015 ("Class Period") who do not opt out of the class."

3. The notice given to the Class Members fully and accurately informed the Class Members of all material elements of the proposed Settlement including the fact that Class Member shares were based on estimates that may change before the final distribution amount is paid to the Class Members. The notice accurately informed the Class Members of their opportunity to object or comment thereon; was the best notice practicable under the circumstances; was valid, due and sufficient notice to all Class Members; and complied fully with the laws of the State of California, due process, and other applicable law. The notice fairly and adequately described the Settlement and provided Class Members adequate instructions and a variety of means to obtain additional information. A full opportunity has been afforded to the Class Members to participate in this hearing, and all Class Members and other persons wishing to be heard have been heard. Accordingly, the Court determines that all Class Members who did

not timely and properly execute a Request for Exclusion are bound by this judgment and order.

The Court finds that there are no Class Members who submitted a valid request for exclusion.

Accordingly, all Class Members who received notice and did not exclude themselves are therefore bound by this judgment and order.

- 4. Pursuant to California law and <u>Code of Civil Procedure</u> § 382 et seq., the Court hereby grants final approval to the Settlement and finds that it is fair, reasonable and adequate, and in the best interests of the Settlement Class as a whole. The Court approves the maximum settlement amount of \$575,000.00 as fair, reasonable and adequate. The settlement contemplated the Court's authority to reduce the attorneys' fees requested and Class Representative enhancement to amounts below the amounts requested by Plaintiff for those elements of the settlement. Accordingly, the Court hereby directs that the Settlement be effected in accordance with the terms of the Joint Stipulation and Agreement of Compromise and Settlement attached to the Preliminary Approval order, entered on June 4, 2015.
- 5. Upon final approval of this Settlement Agreement, Plaintiff, on her own behalf and as Class Representative, as well as all Class Members, including their respective dependents, heirs and assigns, beneficiaries, devisees, legatees, executors, administrators, trustees, conservators, guardians, personal representatives, and successors-in-interest, whether individual, class, representative, legal, equitable, direct or indirect, or any other type or in any other capacity (collectively, the "Releasing Parties") hereby forever completely and irrevocably release and discharge Defendants, and any of their past and present parents, affiliates, subsidiaries, divisions, predecessors, successors, and assigns, and each of their officers, directors, board members, trustees, managers, shareholders, members, employees, agents, attorneys, auditors, accountants, representatives, partners, insurers, and other persons acting on their behalf (collectively, with Defendants, the "Released Parties"), from any and all causes of action, claims, rights damages, punitive or statutory damages, penalties, interest, liabilities, expenses, and losses and issues, that any of the Releasing Parties have or could have made against any of the Released Parties regarding the claims as alleged in this action, under federal law or the law of

2

any state or locality, common law, statutory law, regulations or California Industrial Welfare Commission Wage Orders, as well as any claims, causes of action, damages, wages, expenses, penalties, interest, liabilities, demands, obligations, attorneys' fees, costs, and any other form of relief or remedy in law or equity, arising from (1) the Action and any claims arising out of the facts alleged in the Action, including without limitation all claims under the California Labor Code, Industrial Welfare Commission Wage Orders and the California Business and Professions Code, claims for restitution and other equitable relief, and (2) any wage and hour violations as alleged in this action, whether premised on statute, contract, tort or other theory of liability under state, federal (including the Fair Labor Standards Act) or local law, arising out of the facts, incidents, transactions, events, occurrences, disclosures, statements, acts, or omissions in law or in equity, asserted from the facts alleged in the Action by any Class Member against the Released Parties up to April 30, 2015 (collectively, the "Released Claims"). The Released Claims shall also include all claims against the Released Parties for misclassification, unpaid overtime, unpaid minimum wages, inaccurate or incomplete itemized wage statements, forfeiture of vacation benefits, failure to carry over vacation benefits from year-to-year, denial of meal periods and denial of rest periods arising during the Class Period. The Released Claims do not include claims unrelated to alleged wage-hour violations or unpaid compensation, including claims for unlawful employment discrimination, or claims for workers' compensation or wrongful termination, except that named Plaintiff has released all known and unknown claims relating to her employment with Defendants, including claims for discrimination and wrongful termination as set forth in the Joint Stipulation and Agreement of Compromise and Settlement. The Released Claims do, however, include claims under PAGA. Judgment in this matter, subject to the terms and conditions herein, constitutes a binding judgment against all Parties and the State of California with respect to the PAGA claim under principles of res judicata.

6. There were no valid and timely objections made to the settlement, including the Class Representative enhancement. It is hereby ordered that a Class Representative Enhancement of \$20,000.00 (\$10,000 enhancement, \$10,000 as general release) for Stacey Mae

26

27

28

Gerodias Vajrabukka is a fair and reasonable amount. This finding is made after reading all papers including the declarations and supplemental declarations.

- 7. The Court approves attorneys' fees of \$172,500.00. Therefore, of the total \$575,000.00 settlement, the fee of \$172,500.00, or 30% of the total settlement, is approved as fees. The amount of fees is hereby granted pursuant to California law, inter alia, because it falls within the range of reasonableness and the result achieved justifies the award. As to attorneys' and Administration costs, the Court approves the payment of costs up to the amount of \$30,000.00 and Dahl's administration costs up to \$10,000 in administration costs. Any amounts not claimed as costs shall be distributed to the class on a pro rata basis. The Court approves that \$5,000.00 of the gross settlement amount be allocated to resolve PAGA claims, and that under California Labor Code § 2699(i) \$3,750.00 (75%) will be paid to the California Labor and Welfare Development Agency.
 - 8. Plaintiff's costs were approved:

Law Office of Joseph Antonelli:

Actual costs up to \$30,000.00

Dahl Administration (Administrator):

Actual costs up to \$10,000.00.

Total Approved Costs:

\$40,000.00

As set forth herein, Dahl Administration shall be approved of its costs in the amount of \$9,103.00. Said costs amounts shall be paid out of the total settlement amount as set forth in the settlement agreements and is hereby granted pursuant to California law. Pursuant to the Settlement Agreement, the claims administrator is to be paid from the settlement funds.

9. The Court approves the attorneys' fees request. The Court finds the hourly rates sought by the attorneys are reasonable and fair. Furthermore, the Court finds the percentage of the fund recovery in the amount of 30% is appropriate. As a cross check the lodestar method, a 1.07 multiplier is reasonable and appropriate in this matter. The fees awarded reflect a lodestar multiplier of 1.07, which is fair and reasonable.

The fees and costs awarded in this Final Order are for (a) all work performed and costs incurred related to the Actions, including, but not limited to, Plaintiff's share of the mediator's

fees incurred in connection with the negotiation of the Settlement Agreements; (b) all work performed and costs incurred in connection with approval by the Court of the Class Settlement: and (c) all work and costs incurred in connection with administering the Class Settlement through final distribution of the settlement funds and Judgment.

There were no objections filed to any form of the settlement.

- 10. It is hereby ordered that a Judgment be entered which will bar any future actions by Class Members against the Released Parties (as defined in the Settlement Agreement) for any Released Claims (as defined in the Settlement Agreement) from the period of June 13, 2010 to April 30, 2015.
- 11. Without affecting the finality of this matter, this Court shall retain exclusive and continuing jurisdiction over this action and the parties, including all Settlement Class Members, for purposes of supervising, administering, implementing, enforcing, and interpreting the Settlement, and the claims process thereunder and for Entry of Judgment of Dismissal With Prejudice.
- 12. Pursuant to <u>CRC</u> 3.771(b), Plaintiff's counsel is ordered to post this judgment on counsel's web page for a period of sixty (60) days.

/////

#////

23 11111

24 /////

25 /////

26 1////

27 /////

28

1	
2	
3	13. There being no just reason to delay, the Clerk is directed to enter this Final
4	Judgment forthwith.
5	APPROVED AS TO FORM AND CONTENT
6	Dated: August 4, 2015 LAW OFFICE OF JOSEPH ANTONELLI
7	And
8	By: Joseph Australia
9	Joseph Antonelli, Attorney for Plaintiff and the Class
10	
11	Dated: August 6 2015 BALLARD ROSENBERG GOLPER & SAVITT, LLP
12	
13	By:
14	Jeffrey P. Fuchsman Attorney for Defendants
15	IT IS SO ORDERED.
16	1 011 1A
17	Dated: SIMS Wt. Hallog
18	Judge of the Superior Court
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
28	
0	